

Differences between women and men in Adverse Drug Reactions

Sieta de Vries, PhD
Clinical Pharmacy & Pharmacology, University Medical Center Groningen, the Netherlands

Petra Denig, PhD; Peter Mol, PhD; Eugène van Puijenbroek, PhD



university of groningen s.t.de.vries@umcg.nl University Medical Center Groningen

Prevalence of Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs)

- Clinical trials (e.g. short period of time, low number of patients, selected sample of patients)
- Post-marketing studies -> ADRs are common:
- 25% of primary care patients reported ADR in 2 weeks after the received prescription (USA)
- 12% of random sample of adult general public had ADR in a 3-month period (Sweden)
- Review studies in the EU: hospital admissions due to ADR 3.5%; ADR during hospitalization 10.1%

Heiat et al. Intern Med 2002
Stricker et al. BMJ 2004
Gandhi et al. NEJM 2003
Hakkaraian et al. BJCP 2013
Bouvy et al. Drug Saf 2015

university of groningen

Impact of ADRs

- Treatment satisfaction
 - Study among T2DM patients in the USA. Correlation between number of ADRs and satisfaction ($r=-0.42$)
- Quality of life (QoL)
 - Study among patients experiencing ADR of Thyrox assessing QoL before - after ADR
 - Physical QoL (-0.8), mental QoL (-1.2), daily activities (-1.4), social QoL (-1.3), overall health status (-1.3)
- Treatment adherence
 - Study among ambulatory patients on antihypertensive drugs. Experience of ADR -> reduced odds of being adherent
- Healthcare costs
 - USA: up to 30.1 billion dollars annually (increased hospitalization, prolongation of hospital stay, additional investigations etc)

university of groningen

Pollack et al. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2010
Roffes et al. Drug Saf 2016
Berthe et al. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2017
Sultana et al. J Pharmacol Pharmacother 2013

Women versus men

- Women included in trials but differences not investigated or reported
- Women 1.5-1.7 times more likely to develop an ADR (review study)
- Information inconsistent and incomplete at specific drug - ADR level
 - ADRs to antineoplastic drugs (men more vs women more)
 - Gastrointestinal-related ADRs (women more vs no differences) (study in an outpatient clinic in Canada vs study using spontaneously reported ADRs in France)
- Of 668 drugs, 307 had gender differences in drug-event combinations (Analyses of the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System)
 - No correction for differences in drug prescriptions

university of groningen

Rademaker Am J Clin Dermatol 2001
Tran et al. J Clin Pharmacol 1998
Montastruc et al. Fun Clin Pharmacol 2002
Yu et al. Sci Rep. 2016

Study aims

- To assess whether reported drug-ADR combinations to a PhV centre, corrected for the number of drug users, suggest differences in the occurrences between women and men
- For which 1) drugs and 2) ADRs gender differences are most outspoken

university of groningen

University Medical Center Groningen

Methods

- ADRs spontaneously reported to Lareb
- January 1, 2003 - December 31, 2016
 - Reports from HCPs and patients
 - Data available about No. of drug users
- Drug Information System of the National Health Care Institute (Zorginstituut Nederland)
 - Available data were summed over the years
- Included reports:
 - About drugs (excluding vaccines)
 - From patients, physicians, and pharmacists
 - Reports for patients aged 5 - 99 years

university of groningen

University Medical Center Groningen

De Langen et al. Drug Safety 2008

Outcome variable

- Specific drug-ADR combinations
- Drugs:
 - Chemical subgroup, fourth, level Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification
 - Exclusion of drugs <10.000 users
- ADRs:
 - Preferred term level of the activities (MedDRA)
 - Exclusion of gender-specific ADRs

<http://www.who.int/classifications/atcddd/en/>
<http://meddra.org>
 Gender Adverse Event Term Lists (MedDRA)

C Cardiovascular system C10 Lipid modifying agents C10A Lipid modifying agents, plain C10AA Hmg CoA reductase inhibitors C10AA01 Simvastatin C10AA02 Lovastatin C10AA03 Pravastatin C10AA04 Fluvastatin C10AA05 Atorvastatin C10AA06 Cerivastatin C10AA07 Rosuvastatin	SOC Cardiac disorders HLT Cardiac arrhythmias HLT Cardiac conduction disorders HLT Rate and rhythm disorders NEC PT Agonal rhythm PT Anomalous atrioventricular excitation PT Arrhythmia LLT Arrhythmia LLT Arrhythmia (NOS) LLT Arrhythmia cardiac (NOS) LLT Arrhythmia exertional
---	--

Analyses

- Bivariate logistic regression analyses
 - Gender -> reporting a specific drug-ADR combination
 - Corrected for No. of drug users
- Odds ratios with 95% CI

Results

2,765 unique drug-ADR combinations

- 247 combinations: <10,000 drug users
- 36 combinations: Gender-specific ADR

2,482 combinations tested

Results


Insignificant; 2,119
 Significant; 363
 Higher odds for women; 321
 Higher odds for men; 42
 124

Results


Results – Women

Thyroid hormones	Nausea
HMG CoA reductase inhibitors	Alopecia
Centrally acting sympathomimetics	Headache
Other depressants	Palpitations
Tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibitors	Dizziness



Results – Men



Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors
HMG CoA reductase inhibitors





Agression
Death
Pyrexia
Sexual dysfunction
Tendon rupture
Tinnitus

Discussion and Conclusion

- Assessment of different drugs and ADRs
- Correction for No. of drug users
- No correction for multiple testing
- Power of specific combinations:
 - The number of cases in which a specific drug/ADR was included
 - The number of cases in a specific drug-ADR combination
- Based on spontaneous reporting
- Men and women differ in ADRs
- Need for further studies about underlying reasons



www.umcg.nl
s.t.de.vries@umcg.nl




16 Clinical Pharmacy & Pharmacology